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Disruption of Hepatic Leptin Signaling Protects Mice From Age- and Diet-Related Glucose Intolerance

Frank K. Huynh, Jasna Levi, Heather C. Denroche, Sarah L. Gray, Peter J. Voshol, Ursula H. Neumann, Madeleine Speck, Streamon C. Chua, Scott D. Covey, and Timothy J. Kieffer

OBJECTIVE—The liver plays a critical role in integrating and controlling glucose metabolism. Thus, it is important that the liver receive and react to signals from other tissues regarding the nutrient status of the body. Leptin, which is produced and secreted from adipose tissue, is a hormone that relays information regarding the status of adipose depots to other parts of the body. Leptin has a profound influence on glucose metabolism, so we sought to determine if leptin may exert this effect in part through the liver.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—To explore this possibility, we created mice that have disrupted hepatic leptin signaling using a Cre-lox approach and then investigated aspects of glucose metabolism in these animals.

RESULTS—The loss of hepatic leptin signaling did not alter body weight, body composition, or blood glucose levels in the mild fasting or random-fed state. However, mice with ablated hepatic leptin signaling had increased lipid accumulation in the liver. Further, as male mice aged or were fed a high-fat diet, the loss of hepatic leptin signaling protected the mice from glucose intolerance. Moreover, the mice displayed increased liver insulin sensitivity and a trend toward enhanced glucose-stimulated plasma insulin levels. Consistent with increased insulin sensitivity, mice with ablated hepatic leptin signaling had increased insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of Akt in the liver.

CONCLUSIONS—These data reveal that unlike a complete deficiency of leptin action, which results in impaired glucose homeostasis, disruption of leptin action in the liver alone increases hepatic insulin sensitivity and protects against age- and diet-related glucose intolerance. Thus, leptin appears to act as a negative regulator of insulin action in the liver. Diabetes 59: 3032–3040, 2010
RESULTS

Generation of mice with hepatocyte-specific disruption of leptin receptor signaling. To generate mice with hepatocyte-specific disruption of leptin signaling, we utilized Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup></sup> mice, which have loxP sites flanking exon 17 of the leptin receptor gene (Lepr) (24,25). Exon 17 of Lepr is present in the long isoform of Lepr and encodes the Janus kinase (JAK) binding site. Upon Cre-mediated recombination at the loxP sites, exon 17 is excised (herein referred to as Lepr<sup>Δ17</sup>), and a resulting frame shift mutation generates an altered 3′ terminus (25) that no longer encodes tyrosine 985 and tyrosine 1138, which are sites of JAK-mediated tyrosine phosphorylation (26). Mice homozygous for the Lepr<sup>Δ17</sup> allele are deficient in leptin-stimulated STAT phosphorylation (27,28). The Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup></sup> mice were crossed with Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup> mice, which have the cre transgene under the control of the albumin promoter, conferring hepatocyte-specific expression of Cre (29). The resulting Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup></sup> mice with and without the Al<sup>bc</sup>re transgene were bred to generate Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup> and Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup> mice.

Following generation of Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup></sup> and Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup></sup> littermate controls, we investigated the extent and specificity of Cre-mediated excision of exon 17 of the leptin receptor. In all tissues tested, there was a PCR product of ~1,370 bp, which corresponds to the expected product size of the Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup></sup> allele (Fig. IA). Although present, there was very little of this amplicon generated from liver DNA of Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup></sup> mice, likely contributed by non-hepatocytes. Instead, the major product amplified from liver DNA of Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup></sup> mice was ~950 bp in size, which corresponds to the expected size of the Lepr<sup>Δ17</sup> allele following Cre-mediated excision (resulting in Lepr<sup>Δ17</sup>). Thus, it appears that in the Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup></sup> allele among the tissues tested was restricted to the liver and that the majority of hepatocytes carry the Lepr<sup>Δ17</sup> rather than the Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup></sup> allele. Consistent with this, an analysis of Lepr<sup>b</sup> mRNA transcripts by RT-PCR revealed that while the only amplified product detected from the liver of Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup></sup> mice corresponded to the anticipated 343 bp product from the wild-type Lepr<sup>b</sup> transcript, in the liver of the Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup></sup> mice, only an amplicon of the predicted size of the Lepr<sup>Δ17</sup> transcript was detected (Fig. IB). The Lepr<sup>Δ17</sup> transcript has been previously shown to result in impaired leptin-stimulated JAK/STAT signaling in mouse lines derived from the same Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup></sup> mice used in our study (24,28). Thus, our mice, which we have shown to predominantly express the Lepr<sup>Δ17</sup> allele specifically in the liver, must have impaired leptin-stimulated hepatic JAK/STAT activation.

Loss of hepatic leptin signaling does not substantially alter body weight or body composition. To characterize the physiological effect of ablated hepatic leptin signaling, we first assessed body weight and composition. Unlike mice with a complete loss of leptin signaling (db/db mice), which are much heavier than control mice (C57BL/6), the loss of hepatic leptin signaling did not substantially alter body weight relative to controls in either sex at the various ages tested (Table 1). To assess body composition, the total lean-to-lipid mass ratio of Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup></sup> and Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup></sup> littermate controls was assessed at 6 and 16 weeks of age by NMR. At both ages and in each sex, there was a similar ratio of lean-to-lipid mass in mice with and without hepatic leptin

---

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Mice. C57BL/6, db/db, and C57BL/6-Tg(Alb-driven CRE)21Mg (referred to as Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup> ) were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup></sup> Al<sup>bc</sup>re<sup>tg</sup> mice, which lack hepatic leptin signaling, and their Lepr<sup>fl<sup>ox</sup>/fl<sup>ox</sup></sup> littermate controls were generated as described in the supplementary information, available in an online appendix at http://diabetes.diabetesjournals.org. All procedures with animals were approved by the University of British Columbia Animal Care Committee and carried out in accordance with the Canadian Council on Animal Care guidelines. For additional details, see the supplementary information.

PCR and RT-PCR analysis. Genomic DNA was prepared with DNeasy kits (Qiagen, Mississauga, Canada). Brain tissue was prepared as a homogenate of the brain minus the hypothalamus. For RNA extractions, the liver was excised and immediately placed in RNA later (Qiagen). Tissue was homogenized with an Ultra-Turrax and purified using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen). Reverse transcript reactions were performed with a poly T primer using a Superscript First-Strand Synthesis kit (Invitrogen, Burlington, Canada). The generated cDNA was then used for PCR. Primer sequences are available in the supplementary information.

Measurement of lean to lipid mass. Measurements were performed with a Bruker BioSpec 70/30 T Tesla magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanner (Bruker Biospin, Ettlingen, Germany). The ratio of lean/fat tissue is expressed as weight/weight and was calculated from the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) data as described (21). For additional details, see the supplementary information.

Measurement of hepatic lipid content. Hepatic triglycerides and cholesterol were measured by a modified protocol of Briaud et al. (22). For additional details, see the supplementary information.

Plasma analyte analysis. Body weight, blood glucose, and insulin were typically measured following a 4-h fast unless specified otherwise. Blood glucose concentration was measured with a OneTouch Ultra Glucometer (LifeScan, Burnaby, Canada) from the saphenous vein. Plasma insulin levels were measured using a mouse insulin Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (ALPCO Diagnostics, Salem, NH), and plasma leptin levels were determined using a mouse leptin ELISA (Crystal Chem, Downers Grove, IL).

In vivo oral glucose tolerance. Glucose-stimulated insulin levels, and insulin tolerance tests. Mice were fasted for 4 h and then given either an oral glucose gavage (1.5 mg of glucose per g body weight unless otherwise specified) or a 30% glucose solution or an intraperitoneal injection of 0.75 units/kg (females) or 1.0 units/kg (males) human synthetic insulin (Novolin, ge Toronto, Novo Nordisk, Mississauga, Canada). Blood was sampled from the saphenous vein and measured for glucose or insulin as described above.

Measurements of β-cell mass. Pancreata were removed and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C and then stored in 70% ethanol prior to embedding in paraffin and sectioning. For each mouse, insulin-positive area was assessed on three pancreas sections separated by 35 μm. Details regarding processing, staining, and quantification of β-cell mass are available in the supplementary information.

Hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps. Hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps were performed as previously described (23). For a brief description, see the supplementary information.

Western blot analysis. Liver samples were collected immediately after the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp. Western blots were performed using antibodies against phosphorylated Akt (#4060; Cell Signaling Technologies, Danvers, MA) and total Akt (#9272; Cell Signaling Technologies). See the supplementary information for additional details.

Statistical analysis. Data are represented as means ± SEM, and significance was set at P ≤ 0.05. Analyses were done by Student’s t-tests.
 signaling (Figs. 2B and D). However, we found that mice lacking leptin signaling in the liver had 16% more triglycerides and 48% more cholesterol in their livers when compared with littermate controls (Fig. 2E and F). Thus, while a loss of hepatic leptin signaling does not alter body weight or adiposity, it does result in increased lipid accumulation in the liver. 

**Loss of hepatic leptin signaling does not have a major influence on basal metabolic parameters.** We next sought to determine how a loss of hepatic leptin signaling might affect basal metabolic parameters. Plasma leptin levels were not significantly different between groups in either sex at 18 weeks of age (5.66 ± 1.11 ng/ml vs. 4.36 ± 2.63 ng/ml for male Lepr<sup>flx/flx</sup> Albcre tg<sup>−</sup> vs. Lepr<sup>flx/flx</sup> Albcre tg<sup>+</sup>, *P* = 0.308, *n* ≥ 4 and 4.11 ± 0.78 ng/ml vs. 6.80 ± 1.64 ng/ml for female Lepr<sup>flx/flx</sup> Albcre tg<sup>−</sup> vs. Lepr<sup>flx/flx</sup> Albcre tg<sup>+</sup>, *P* = 0.123, *n* ≥ 3). Similarly, plasma leptin binding protein levels also appeared to be unchanged between Lepr<sup>flx/flx</sup> Albcre tg<sup>−</sup> and littermate controls (supplementary Fig. 1). Further, plasma lipid

**TABLE 1**
Metabolic parameters of mice with and without hepatic leptin signaling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic parameter</th>
<th>Body weight (g)</th>
<th>Fasting&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; glucose (mM)</th>
<th>Random-fed&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; glucose (mM)</th>
<th>Fasting&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; insulin (ng/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♂</td>
<td>25.7 ± 0.5 (22)</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.3 (22)</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.4 (7)</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.13 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>25.6 ± 0.5 (24)</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.3 (24)</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.3 (8)</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.11 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>29.5 ± 0.5 (8)</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.3 (16)</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.4 (8)</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.06 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>30.0 ± 0.7 (8)*</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.8 (8)*</td>
<td>28.1 ± 1.6 (8)*</td>
<td>8.00 ± 0.91 (8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td>20.5 ± 0.3 (18)</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.3 (18)</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.3 (8)</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.15 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19.7 ± 0.3 (17)</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.3 (16)</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.2 (5)</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.05 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.4 (8)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.3 (8)</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.4 (8)</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.09 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>31.6 ± 0.5 (8)*</td>
<td>22.1 ± 1.6 (8)*</td>
<td>28.9 ± 0.5 (8)*</td>
<td>10.18 ± 1.38 (7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>db/db</td>
<td>31.6 ± 0.5 (8)*</td>
<td>22.1 ± 1.6 (8)*</td>
<td>28.9 ± 0.5 (8)*</td>
<td>10.18 ± 1.38 (7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♂</td>
<td>34.0 ± 0.9 (29)</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.3 (29)</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.4 (6)</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.30 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32.6 ± 0.9 (35)</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.3 (35)</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.3 (7)</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.29 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28.8 ± 1.0 (14)</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.4 (14)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.3 (8)</td>
<td>2.61 ± 0.76 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>50.5 ± 0.9 (8)*</td>
<td>28.0 ± 1.0 (8)*</td>
<td>28.1 ± 1.1 (8)*</td>
<td>11.2 ± 2.2 (8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td>22.2 ± 0.9 (27)</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.3 (27)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.3 (5)</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.10 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.1 ± 0.5 (22)</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.2 (22)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.2 (5)</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.15 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.7 ± 0.6 (8)</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.4 (8)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.1 (8)</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.06 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>51.7 ± 0.6 (8)*</td>
<td>28.1 ± 2.2 (8)*</td>
<td>24.8 ± 2.4 (8)*</td>
<td>7.46 ± 0.76 (8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>db/db</td>
<td>51.7 ± 0.6 (8)*</td>
<td>28.1 ± 2.2 (8)*</td>
<td>24.8 ± 2.4 (8)*</td>
<td>7.46 ± 0.76 (8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♂</td>
<td>41.6 ± 1.1 (24)</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.5 (24)</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.4 (5)</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.41 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>39.1 ± 1.1 (25)</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.3 (26)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.5 (7)</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.25 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>31.7 ± 1.0 (14)</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.3 (14)</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.5 (8)</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.77 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>56.7 ± 0.9 (8)*</td>
<td>25.6 ± 2.8 (8)*</td>
<td>25.1 ± 1.8 (8)*</td>
<td>12.0 ± 2.10 (8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td>25.4 ± 1.0 (8)</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.2 (8)</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.3 (5)</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.01 (4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.4 ± 0.7 (8)</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.5 (8)</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.2 (8)</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.06 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepr&lt;sup&gt;flx/flx&lt;/sup&gt; Albcre tg&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>57.9 ± 0.6 (8)*</td>
<td>21.7 ± 2.5 (8)*</td>
<td>21.0 ± 2.8 (8)*</td>
<td>9.67 ± 1.42 (6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Mice were fasted for 4 h during the light cycle. <sup>b</sup>Samples were collected between 11:00 p.m.–1:00 a.m. <sup>P</sup> ≤ 0.05 vs. control (Lepr<sup>flx/flx</sup> Albcre tg<sup>−</sup> or C57BL/6).
levels after a 4-h fast were unchanged between Lepr<sup>flex/flex</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> and their littermate controls at 6, 12, and 16 weeks of age (supplementary Table 1). We also measured insulin and blood glucose levels in Lepr<sup>flex/flex</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> and Lepr<sup>flex/flx</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> littermate controls in both the 4-h fasted state as well as the fed state during the light and dark phases, respectively. For comparison, we also measured these parameters in mice lacking functional leptin receptors in all tissues (db/db) and the relevant wild-type controls (C57BL/6). The complete loss of leptin action in the db/db mice resulted in increased body mass, hyperglycemia (in both the fasted and fed state) and fasting hyperinsulinemia in both genders at 6, 12, and 16 weeks of age (Table 1). In contrast, a liver-specific loss of leptin signaling did not significantly alter blood glucose levels (in either fasted or random-fed state) of either sex at any of the ages investigated. Consistent with this, we found no alterations in hepatic PEPCK and glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase) transcript levels between male Lepr<sup>flex/flex</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> and <sup>tg</sup> littermate controls after a 4-h fast (supplementary Fig. 2A). Further, pyruvate-induced gluconeogenesis after an overnight fast was similar in male Lepr<sup>flex/flex</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> mice and their littermate controls (supplementary Fig. 2B). Fasting plasma insulin levels in males with hepatocyte-specific ablation of leptin signaling were also similar to levels in littermate controls. However, the Lepr<sup>flex/flex</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> females had lower fasting plasma insulin levels compared with their littermate controls at all ages measured, and this difference was statistically significant at 16 weeks of age ($P = 0.042$).

**Loss of hepatic leptin signaling protects against age- and diet-related glucose intolerance.** To assess if the response to a nutritional challenge may be altered by a loss of hepatic leptin signaling, oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTTs) were performed on Lepr<sup>flex/flex</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> and Lepr<sup>flex/flx</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> littermate controls at several different ages, along with db/db and C57BL/6 wild-type controls. As expected, the complete absence of leptin signaling in the male db/db mice resulted in striking glucose intolerance in young 6-week-old mice (Fig. 3F). Surprisingly, in contrast to the db/db mice, the 6-week-old male mice lacking hepatic leptin signaling had a trend toward slightly improved glucose tolerance (Fig. 3A). This trend became more prominent as the mice aged and the differences in the peak blood glucose values were statistically significant in 16-week-old mice and beyond (Figs. 3B–D). At 16 and 42 weeks old, the Lepr<sup>flex/flex</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> mice had an 18% improvement in glucose excursion relative to the littermate controls as measured by area under the curve (AUC) analysis. Interestingly, we did not see such a difference in females when comparing the Lepr<sup>flex/flex</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> and their littermate controls at the same ages as the males (supplementary Fig. 3). Since it appeared that male mice lacking hepatic leptin signaling were protected from an age-related deterioration of glucose tolerance, we tested if this protection would persist when fed a high-fat diet. Lepr<sup>flex/flex</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> and their littermate controls were fed a high-fat diet for 20 weeks starting at 4 weeks of age, and OGTTs were performed. Similar to the chow-fed mice, the high-fat–fed male mice lacking hepatic leptin signaling had improved glucose tolerance relative to littermate controls (Fig. 3E) despite no differences in body weight (55.9 ± 4.0 g for Lepr<sup>flex/flex</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> and 54.3 ± 2.6 g for Lepr<sup>flex/flx</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup>, $P = 0.365, n = 6$). The magnitude of this improvement in glucose tolerance was greater in high-fat–fed mice than the chow-fed mice, as there was a 40% improved glucose excursion (by AUC analysis) in mice lacking hepatic leptin signaling. Thus, the loss of hepatic leptin signaling allows mice to retain normal glucose tolerance as they age even when on a high-fat diet.

Since it has been reported that a cre transgene, the RIP<sub>cre</sub> transgene, itself can cause glucose intolerance (30), we investigated if the differences in glucose tolerance in our studies were a direct result of excision of lepr exon 17 or possibly the presence of the Albcre transgene. To test this, we performed OGTTs in male Lepr<sup>flex/wt</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> and their littermate controls at 16 weeks of age. Glucose excursion was very similar between the two groups of mice when analyzed by AUC analysis (Lepr<sup>flex/wt</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> 1,570 ± 140 vs. Lepr<sup>flex/wt</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup> 1,556 ± 220 and $P = 0.480, n = 7$). This reveals that the presence of the cre transgene itself is not the cause of the altered glucose tolerance (Fig. 3) but rather the loss of leptin signaling in hepatocytes, and that the phenotype is only present when both copies of the leptin receptor allele are disrupted.

**Increased glucose-stimulated plasma insulin levels and increased insulin sensitivity contribute to improved glucose tolerance in mice lacking hepatic leptin signaling.** To explore the potential mechanism of improved glucose tolerance in the Lepr<sup>flex/flx</sup> Albcre <sup>tg</sup>
mice, we examined aspects of insulin secretion in mice with and without hepatic leptin signaling. As seen in Fig. 4, following a glucose gavage, the \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox Albcre}^{\text{tg}}/\text{H11001}} \) mice had 1.35-fold increase in plasma insulin relative to the \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox Albcre}^{\text{tg}}/\text{H11002}} \) littermate controls (AUC of 252 ± 33 and 186 ± 31, respectively, \( P = 0.053, n \geq 6 \)). This increase was not associated with an increase in \( \beta \)-cell mass (Figs. 4B and C). Similarly, a trend for increased glucose-stimulated insulin levels was also seen in \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox Albcre}^{\text{tg}}/\text{H11001}} \) mice on a high-fat diet (supplementary Fig. 4).

While the increased plasma insulin following a glucose gavage was not associated with an increase in \( \beta \)-cell mass (Figs. 4B and C). Similarly, a trend for increased glucose-stimulated insulin levels was also seen in \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox Albcre}^{\text{tg}}/\text{H11001}} \) mice on a high-fat diet (supplementary Fig. 4).

This increase was not associated with an increase in \( \beta \)-cell mass (Figs. 4B and C). Similarly, a trend for increased glucose-stimulated insulin levels was also seen in \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox Albcre}^{\text{tg}}/\text{H11001}} \) mice on a high-fat diet (supplementary Fig. 4).
challenge likely contributes to the enhanced glucose tolerance in mice lacking hepatic leptin signaling, we wanted to determine if insulin sensitivity may also contribute to this phenotype. To assess whole-body insulin sensitivity, insulin tolerance tests were performed in male and female mice at 19 weeks of age. The \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox}} \, \text{Albcre} \, \text{tg}^{-} \) females displayed increased insulin sensitivity compared with the \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox}} \, \text{Albcre} \, \text{tg}^{+} \) controls (Fig. 5A), while there was no difference in whole-body insulin sensitivity in the male mice (Fig. 5B).

To more specifically explore insulin sensitivity at the level of the liver in male mice, hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp studies were performed. Figure 6A and B shows that hyperinsulinemia was achieved while maintaining euglycemia during the clamp, and that no differences in blood glucose or plasma insulin levels were observed between \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox}} \, \text{Albcre} \, \text{tg}^{-} \) and their \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox}} \, \text{Albcre} \, \text{tg}^{+} \) littermate controls. In the basal (non-hyperinsulinemic) state, whole-body glucose utilization and endogenous glucose production were similar for the \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox}} \, \text{Albcre} \, \text{tg}^{-} \) and \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox}} \, \text{Albcre} \, \text{tg}^{+} \) littermate controls (Fig. 6C). As expected, in both \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox}} \, \text{Albcre} \, \text{tg}^{-} \) littermate controls (Fig. 6C). As expected, in both \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox}} \, \text{Albcre} \, \text{tg}^{-} \) littermate controls, insulin suppressed glucose production by 39%, while in the \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox}} \, \text{Albcre} \, \text{tg}^{-} \) mice, insulin suppressed glucose production by 68%.

Since the majority of endogenously produced glucose comes from hepatocytes, these data provide evidence that a loss of hepatic leptin signaling mediates enhanced insulin sensitivity in the liver. This notion is supported by

---

**FIG. 5. Ablation of hepatic leptin signaling increases insulin sensitivity.** Insulin tolerance tests were performed on (A) 19-week-old females (n ≥ 4) and (B) 21-week-old males (n ≥ 6) with and without the Albcre transgene. All data are mean ± SEM. *P ≤ 0.05 vs. littermate controls.

**FIG. 6. Loss of hepatic leptin signaling enhances liver insulin sensitivity.** Male \( \text{Lepr}^{\text{flox/flox}} \, \text{Albcre} \, \text{tg}^{+} \) mice and littermate controls (16 to 20 weeks old) were used in a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp as described in RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS, n ≥ 6. A and B: Plasma insulin and blood glucose levels during basal and hyperinsulinemic states. C: Whole-body glucose utilization (BGU), endogenous glucose production (EGP), and glucose infusion rate (GIR). Data are mean ± SEM.
our finding that levels of phosphorylated Akt, a key mediator of insulin signaling, was increased in mice lacking hepatic leptin signaling when compared with littermate controls (Fig. 7). Taken together, our data suggest that a loss of hepatic leptin signaling leads to increased insulin sensitivity in the liver.

**DISCUSSION**

These studies reveal new insights into the role of leptin signaling in the liver. First, we have shown that our mice lacking the leptin receptor signaling domain in the liver have increased hepatic lipid accumulation. This is consistent with the potent lipolytic effects of leptin: *db/db* mice have substantial hepatic steatosis, and the re-expression of leptin receptors in the livers of leptin receptor-deficient Zucker rats reduces hepatic steatosis (31). Further, our data show that mice lacking hepatic leptin signaling have increased insulin sensitivity in the liver, which given that insulin is a potent promoter of lipogenesis (32) and a negative regulator of insulin receptor signaling (44,45), reports regarding the effects of leptin on components of the insulin signaling pathway in hepatocytes also differ considerably. For example, leptin has been shown to have changes in metabolism when compared with wild-type mice (34), with some changes in *ob/+* mice being age-related (35). Under the conditions that we measured hepatic lipid levels, mice with an ablation of the leptin receptor signaling domain have an increase in hepatic triglyceride and cholesterol levels when compared with littermate controls, suggesting that the ability of leptin to ameliorate hepatic steatosis in rodent models of type 2 diabetes (31) and lipodystrophy (36) may be mediated, in part, through leptin signaling at the level of the liver.

Similar to the work of Cohen et al. (20), we find that the loss of hepatic leptin signaling does not have a major influence on glucose metabolism in the mildly fasting or random-fed state. However, we have extended the work of Cohen et al. to reveal that leptin action on the liver does influence glucose metabolism under certain metabolic stressors. Specifically, as male mice aged, those lacking leptin signaling in the liver performed substantially better during a glucose challenge, remarkably even when fed a high-fat diet. This improved glucose tolerance was likely related to increased hepatic insulin sensitivity in 16- to 20-week-old mice and a trend toward increased glucose-stimulated plasma insulin levels perhaps caused by changes in interorgan communication between the liver and the pancreas, which has been demonstrated by several studies (7,37,38). Taken together, our data show that aged mice lacking hepatic leptin signaling appear to benefit from the combined effect of increased hepatic insulin sensitivity and increased glucose-stimulated insulin levels, resulting in markedly improved glucose tolerance.

Although we did see an improvement in insulin sensitivity as well as reduced fasting insulin levels in female mice lacking hepatic leptin signaling compared with controls, this did not manifest in differences in glucose clearance during an oral glucose challenge. We speculate that this relates to the better insulin sensitivity and glucose tolerance in general of female mice relative to their male counterparts. Since the female controls did not develop glucose intolerance during the time frame we examined, we did not see an improvement in glucose tolerance in female mice lacking hepatic leptin signaling despite the improved insulin sensitivity.

Many studies have investigated the effect of leptin on insulin sensitivity; however, there is no clear consensus in the literature if leptin has pro- or anti-insulin sensitizing effects on the liver (39). In agreement with our current data, several other studies have found that leptin can attenuate insulin-induced activities in the liver (17,40). Other studies reveal that leptin can increase insulin-mediated actions in the liver (41–43). Consistent with leptin mediating both pro- and anti-insulin sensitizing effects, we have observed leptin to increase insulin receptor phosphorylation while at the same time increasing expression of protein-tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B) (41), a negative regulator of insulin receptor signaling (44,45). Reports regarding the effects of leptin on components of the insulin signaling pathway in hepatocytes also differ considerably. For example, leptin has been reported to alter insulin signaling by increasing association of IRS with insulin receptor substrate (IRS)-1 and decreasing using mice heterozygous for the floxed leptin receptor gene as controls. These differences in methods could potentially explain the differences in hepatic lipid content seen by us and by Cohen et al. since mice heterozygous for the leptin receptor (*db/+*) or the leptin gene (*ob/+*) have been shown to have changes in metabolism when compared with wild-type mice (34), with some changes in *ob/+* mice being age-related (35). Under the conditions that we measured hepatic lipid levels, mice with an ablation of the leptin receptor signaling domain have an increase in hepatic triglyceride and cholesterol levels when compared with littermate controls, suggesting that the ability of leptin to ameliorate hepatic steatosis in rodent models of type 2 diabetes (31) and lipodystrophy (36) may be mediated, in part, through leptin signaling at the level of the liver.

![FIG. 7. Attenuation of hepatic leptin signaling results in increased insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of Akt in the liver. Following the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp, liver tissues were harvested and flash-frozen.](image)
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</table>

P=0.031
IRS-2 tyrosine phosphorylation (17), and alternatively by increasing IRS-2 tyrosine phosphorylation and association with p85 and decreasing IRS-1 tyrosine phosphorylation (42). Other studies have found leptin to reduce insulin-dependent IRS-1/IRS-2 association with p85 (40) while still other studies find that leptin is not able to modify the effects of insulin on IRS-1/IRS-2 phosphorylation (45). Thus, it appears there is a complex relationship between leptin and its effects on hepatic insulin sensitivity and signaling. Chronic versus acute actions of leptin may be one factor dictating if leptin exerts pro- or anti-insulin sensitizing effects on the liver. From our current work, we have assessed the functional significance of a chronic loss of hepatic leptin activity and found that this leads ultimately to increased hepatic insulin sensitivity.

It is interesting that a complete loss of leptin receptor signaling (db/db mice) is associated with a worsening of glucose homeostasis, whereas the loss of leptin action on the liver appears to function in the opposite manner. This observation suggests that leptin has divergent effects on different tissues. While it has been shown that leptin can act directly on the brain to cause a secondary increase in insulin sensitivity in the liver (12,13), our study shows that losing functional leptin receptors in the liver can also increase hepatic insulin sensitivity. Thus, the present study provides evidence that under certain conditions, leptin action on hepatocytes may function to curtail and control the extent of insulin action on the liver. This is consistent with the action of leptin to limit insulin effects in the periphery by directly suppressing insulin secretion from pancreatic β-cells (46–48) and decreasing lipid storage in adipose tissue (49,50). It is possible that leptin plays an important role in keeping insulin effects in check to protect against hypoglycemia after postprandial insulin release. This may explain why the most striking effects on glucose metabolism that we saw as a result of ablated hepatic leptin signaling occurred during the postprandial state (Fig. 3) or during a state of hyperinsulinemia (Figs. 6 and 7) and not when insulin levels were low (supplementary Fig. 2). Therefore, leptin may differentially regulate glucose metabolism by acting either on the brain or the periphery, and the overall effect of leptin may depend on the current metabolic state. Clearly, a complex relationship exists between the effects of leptin on the brain and the periphery, and a disruption of this relationship may result in metabolic abnormalities such as diabetes and obesity. Nonetheless, given the remarkable ability of a loss of hepatic leptin signaling to protect against glucose intolerance during aging and a high-fat diet, which are two of the most prevalent risk factors for type 2 diabetes, hepatic leptin signaling is a candidate therapeutic target and further studies are warranted in this area.
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